

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,563	10/09/2003	Der-Zheng Liu	REAP0020USA	2562
27765	7590 02/07/2006		EXAM	INER
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			LE, NHAN T	
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MERKITEL	D, VA 22110		2685	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAN ED 02/07/2004	_

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/605,563	LIU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nhan T. Le	2685
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Oct</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,18 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request the objected to by the Examine applicant may not request	r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/09/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/605,563

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patel et al (US 6,480528) in view of Chang et al (US 2004/0146091).

As to claim 1, Patel teaches a method for automatic gain control (AGC) in a receiver of an antenna system comprising a plurality of modules having a receiver antenna for substantially simultaneously receiving a plurality of signals via a single frequency band, the method comprising: amplifying the plurality of received signals with at least an amplifier (see fig. 2, numbers 224a, 224b, 224c, col. 4, lines 56-67, col. 5, lines 1-8); generating a plurality of time domain samples of the amplified signals with at least an analog-to-digital converter (ADC) connected to the amplifier (see fig. 2, numbers 230a, 230b, 230c, col. 4, lines 56-67, col. 5, lines 1-8); determining at least a candidate power according to root-mean-square (RMS) powers of a group of symbols received at the receiver antennas with a processor connected to the ADC (see col. 6, lines 8-46). Patel fails to teach setting the gain of the amplifier according to a selected candidate power with the processor. Chang teaches setting the gain of the amplifier according to a selected candidate power with the processor (see fig. 3, numbers 24, 30, paragraph 0017). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10/605,563

Art Unit: 2685

art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Chang into the system of Patel in order to eliminate channel gain variations which would affect the subsequent digital signal processing (as suggested by Chang paragraph 0017).

As to claim 18, the combination of Patel and Chang teaches wherein the set gain is a target power divided by the candidate power (see Chang paragraph 0017).

As to claim 19, the combination of Patel and Chang teaches wherein the symbols are IEEE 802.11 symbols of the received signals (see Chang paragraph 0005).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claim 2, the applied reference fails to teach wherein the received RMS power for one antenna is determined as the square root of the averaged product of each received symbol and its complex conjugate for all symbols of the first group as cited in the claim.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lin et al (US 20040120422) teaches QAM receiver having joint gain carrier recovery and equalization adaptation system.

Haapoja (US 20030045250) teaches method and apparatus for detecting power levels of varying envelope signals.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,563 Page 4

Art Unit: 2685

Sutardja (US 5,831,456) teaches apparatus and method for transient suppression in synchronous data detection systems.

Guenther et al (US 6,097,755) teaches time domain reflectometer having optimal interrogating pulses.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7892. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-05:00 (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nhan Le

nguya10 2_1_2006

> NGUYENT.VO PRIMARY EXAMINER